



Independent Limited Assurance Report to the Directors of Bunzl plc (“Bunzl”) on selected information in the Sustainability Report and ESG Appendix 2023

Our limited assurance conclusion

Based on the procedures we have performed, as described under the “Summary of work performed” and the evidence we have obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the information marked with the symbol ◇ in Bunzl’s Sustainability Report and ESG Appendix for the year ended 30 September 2023, contained within the Bunzl Annual Report and Accounts 2023 on pages 44 to 62 and on pages 211 to 220 (the “Report”), and summarised below (together, the “Subject Matter Information”), has not been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with Bunzl’s Reporting Criteria (the “Reporting Criteria”).

What we were engaged to assure

The Subject Matter Information needs to be read and understood together with the Reporting Criteria which Bunzl’s Directors are solely responsible for selecting and applying. The Subject Matter Information and the Reporting Criteria are as set out Appendix A below.

The scope of our work did not extend to information in respect of earlier periods or to any other information included in, or linked from, the Report including any images, audio files or videos.

Our Work

Professional standards applied

We performed a limited assurance engagement in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 (Revised) ‘Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information’ and, in respect of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3410 ‘Assurance engagements on greenhouse gas statements’, issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

Our independence and quality control

We have complied with the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales Code of Ethics, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour, that are at least as demanding as the applicable provisions of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards).

We apply International Standard on Quality Management (UK) 1 and accordingly maintain a comprehensive system of quality management including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Summary of work performed

We performed a limited assurance engagement. Because the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance can vary, we give more detail about the procedures performed, so that the intended users can understand the nature, timing and extent of procedures we performed as context for our conclusion. These procedures performed vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed.

In performing our assurance procedures, which were based on our professional judgement, we performed the following:

- considered the suitability in the circumstances of Bunzl’s use of the Reporting Criteria as the basis for preparing the Subject Matter Information including considering reporting boundaries;



- obtained an understanding of Bunzl’s control environment, processes and systems relevant to the preparation of the Subject Matter Information. Our procedures did not include evaluating the suitability of design or operating effectiveness of control activities;
- evaluated the appropriateness of measurement and evaluation methods, reporting policies used and estimates made by Bunzl, noting that our procedures did not involve testing the data on which the estimates are based or separately developing our own estimates against which to evaluate Bunzl’s estimates;
- compared year on year movements and obtained explanations from management for significant differences we identified;
- performed limited substantive testing on a selective basis of the Subject Matter Information, which is aggregated from information submitted by Bunzl’s group entities. Testing involved: agreeing arithmetical accuracy of calculations, and agreeing data points to or from source information to check that the underlying subject matter had been appropriately evaluated or measured, recorded, collated and reported;
- undertook physical site visits at Bunzl locations in Germany and the UK, as well as virtual visits in the US and France, to determine the consistency of reporting procedures and conduct interviews; we selected these sites based on their inherent risk and materiality to the group, unexpected fluctuations in the site Subject Matter Information since the prior period, and sites not visited in the prior period; and
- evaluated the disclosures in, and overall presentation of, the Subject Matter Information.

Our assurance procedures specifically did not include procedures on financial information extracted from the audited Bunzl Annual Report and Accounts 2023 and used in the calculation of the intensity metrics as set out in Appendix A.

Materiality

We are required to plan and perform our work to address the areas where we have identified that a material misstatement of the Subject Matter Information is likely to arise. We set certain quantitative thresholds for materiality. These, together with qualitative considerations, helped us to determine the nature, timing and extent of our procedures in support of our conclusion. We believe that it is important that the intended users have the information they need to understand the concept and the level of materiality to place our conclusion in context. Based on our professional judgement, we determined materiality for the Subject Matter Information as follows:

<i>Overall materiality</i>	<p>Materiality may differ depending upon the nature of the Subject Matter Information. We apply professional judgement to consider the most appropriate materiality benchmark for each aspect of the Subject Matter Information, having considered how the intended users may use the information.</p> <p>Based on our professional judgement, we determined materiality for the subject matter information as indicated in the table in appendix A by one of the following letters:</p> <p>A. This metric is an absolute number. A benchmark materiality of 5% has been applied.</p> <p>B. This metric measures intensity, which is calculated as a ratio between two different numbers. A benchmark of 5% has been applied to both the numerator and denominator used in the calculation.</p> <p>C. This metric is a percentage. A benchmark materiality of 5% has been applied to both the numerator and denominator used in the percentage calculation.</p>
----------------------------	---

We also agreed to report to the Directors misstatements (‘reportable misstatements’) identified during our work at a level below overall materiality, as well as misstatements below that lower level that in our view warranted reporting for qualitative reasons. The Directors are responsible for deciding whether adjustments should be made to the Subject Matter Information in respect of those items.

Key assurance matters

We have determined that there are no key assurance matters to communicate in our report.

Challenges of non-financial information

The absence of a significant body of established practice upon which to draw to evaluate and measure non-financial information allows for different, but acceptable, evaluation and measurement techniques that can affect comparability between entities, and over time.

Non-financial information is subject to more inherent limitations than financial information, given the characteristics of the underlying subject matter and the methods used for measuring or evaluating it. The precision of different measurement techniques may also vary.



Reporting on Other Information

The other information comprises all of the information in the Report other than the Subject Matter Information and our assurance report. The Directors are responsible for the other information. As explained above, our conclusion does not extend to the other information and, accordingly, we do not express any form of assurance thereon. In connection with our assurance of the Subject Matter Information, our responsibility is to read the other information. In doing so, we consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the Subject Matter Information or our knowledge obtained during the assurance engagement, or otherwise appears to contain a material misstatement of fact. If we identify an apparent material inconsistency or material misstatement of fact, we are required to perform procedures to conclude whether there is a material misstatement of the Subject Matter Information or a material misstatement of the other information, and to take appropriate actions in the circumstances.

Responsibilities of the Directors

The Directors of Bunzl are responsible for:

- determining appropriate reporting topics and selecting or establishing suitable criteria for measuring or evaluating the underlying subject matter;
- ensuring that those criteria are relevant and appropriate to Bunzl and the intended users of the Report;
- the preparation of the Subject Matter Information in accordance with the Reporting Criteria including designing, implementing and maintaining systems, processes and internal controls over the evaluation or measurement of the underlying subject matter to result in Subject Matter Information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and
- documenting and retaining underlying data and records to support the Subject Matter Information;
- producing the Report that provides a balanced reflection of Bunzl's performance in this area and discloses, with supporting rationale, matters relevant to the intended users of the Report; and
- producing a statement of Directors' responsibility.

Our responsibilities

We are responsible for:

- planning and performing the engagement to obtain limited assurance about whether the Subject Matter Information is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error;
- forming an independent conclusion, based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained; and
- reporting our conclusion to the Directors of Bunzl.

Use of our report

Our report, including our conclusion, has been prepared solely for the Directors of Bunzl in accordance with the agreement between us dated 16 November 2023 (the "agreement"). To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility or liability to anyone other than the Board of Directors and Bunzl for our work or our report except where terms are expressly agreed between us in writing.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chartered Accountants
London
26 February 2024



Appendix A – the Subject Matter Information and Reporting Criteria

Subject Matter Information			Reporting Criteria
Description	Materiality reference*	2023	
Scope 1 carbon emissions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	A	89,806	EHS Reporting Guidelines 2024, as set out in the Sustainability section of Bunzl's website. https://www.bunzl.com/sustainability/sustainability-reporting/ ¹
Scope 1 carbon emissions intensity (tonnes of CO ₂ e per £m revenue)	B	7.6	
Scope 2 location-based carbon emissions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	A	28,011	
Scope 2 location-based carbon emissions intensity (tonnes of CO ₂ e per £m revenue)	B	2.3	
Scope 2 market-based carbon emissions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	A	25,576	
Scope 2 market-based carbon emissions intensity (tonnes of CO ₂ e per £m revenue)	B	2.1	
Total scope 1 and 2 location-based emissions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	A	117,817	
Total scope 1 and 2 location-based emission intensity (tonnes of CO ₂ e per £m revenue)	B	9.9	
Total scope 1 and 2 market-based emissions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	A	115,382	
Total scope 1 and 2 market-based emission intensity (tonnes of CO ₂ e per £m revenue)	B	9.7	
Percentage of scope 2 relating to renewable electricity (%)	C	25%	
Incidence rate (average number of incidents per month per 100,000 employees); and	B	88	
Severity rate (average number of days lost per month per 100,000 employees)	B	2,338	

*The materiality reference refers to our assessment of materiality discussed in the Materiality section of this report.

¹ The maintenance and integrity of Bunzl's website is the responsibility of the Directors; the work carried out by us does not involve consideration of these matters and, accordingly, we accept no responsibility for any changes that may have occurred to the reported Subject Matter Information or Reporting Criteria when presented on Bunzl's website.